ST JAMES ELEMENTARY 9711 St. James Road Myrtle Beach, South Carolina 29588 K-5 Elementary School GRADES 712 Students ENROLLMENT Mary Beth Heath 843-650-8220 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Gerrita Postlewait 843-488-6700 BOARD CHAIR Will Garland 843-358-8002 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: EXCELLENT Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 16 56 IMPROVEMENT RATING: GOOD ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: NO This school met 18 out of 19 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE "		

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Below Average	N/A
2002	Excellent	Good	N/A
2003	Excellent	Good	No
2004			

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School

Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

47.1

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

LVALUATIONS I	EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS					
		Teachers	Students	Parents		
Number of surveys	returned	35	107	66		
Percent satisfied w	rith learning environment	93.9%	91.6%	88.9%		
Percent satisfied w	rith social and physical environment	91.2%	89.6%	78.1%		
Percent satisfied w	rith home-school relations	96.9%	91.5%	87.5%		

St James Elementary 2601030

PACT PERFORMANCI	E BY GR							
		/.		/ ,c.		/ ,	/ <u>x</u>	cient and street
	/	ent Sting	Tested old	alon Basic	Basic ok	Proficient of	Advanced Profi	cientand ch
	rolly	in 4.16	(Bern / Ve	Flow O	888.	Stor.	Advictor	cient succes
	\th.		0/0	'/ '			0/01	' / ઙ૽
A.II. 4. 1. 4.			Er	nglish/Lar	nguage A			
All students	348	100.0	15.9	36.9	41.6	5.6	47.2	17.6
Gender		400.0	40.0		40.0		110	4=0
Male	164	100.0	16.9	38.3	40.9	3.9	44.8	17.6
Female	184	100.0	15.1	35.5	42.2	7.2	49.4	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	070	100.0	11.2	33.3	48.4	7.0	55.4	17.6
White	279							
African-American Asian/Pacific Islander	54	100.0	38.8	51.0	10.2	N/A	10.2	17.6
	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	10	100.0	30.0	50.0	20.0	N/A	20.0	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan Disability Status	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Not disabled	200	100.0	10.7	25.6	AE O	6.0	E4 0	17.0
Disabled	308	100.0	12.7	35.6	45.8	6.0	51.8	17.6
Migrant Status	40	100.0	41.7	47.2	8.3	2.8	11.1	17.6
Migrant Status Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant								
English Proficiency	348	100.0	15.9	36.9	41.6	5.6	47.2	17.6
Limited English proficient	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	345	100.0	15.1	37.2	42.0	5.7	47.6	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	343	100.0	10.1	31.2	42.0	5.1	47.0	17.0
Subsidized meals	152	100.0	24.6	43.7	30.3	1.4	31.7	17.6
Full-pay meals	195	100.0	9.0	31.5	50.6	9.0	59.6	17.6
	100	100.0	0.0	01.0	00.0	0.0	00.0	17.0
				Mathe	matics			
All students	348	100.0	10.6	35.2	30.2	24.0	54.2	15.5
Gender	010	10010	1010	00.2	00.12	20	0 112	10.0
Male	164	100.0	11.0	33.5	32.3	23.2	55.5	15.5
Female	184	100.0	10.2	36.7	28.3	24.7	53.0	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	279	100.0	8.5	30.2	33.3	27.9	61.2	15.5
African-American	54	100.0	20.0	60.0	18.0	2.0	20.0	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	10	100.0	10.0	50.0	10.0	30.0	40.0	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	308	100.0	9.5	31.2	32.3	27.0	59.3	15.5
Disabled	40	100.0	19.4	66.7	13.9	N/A	13.9	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	348	100.0	10.6	35.2	30.2	24.0	54.2	15.5
English Proficiency								
imited English proficient	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	345	100.0	10.4	34.9	30.5	24.2	54.7	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	152	100.0	13.3	47.6	25.2	14.0	39.2	15.5
LIII nav modic	100	400 0	. 0.4	0.50	242	22.0	000	. 455

32.0

100.0

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL									
		Enolif	ay of Testing	lested alogo	HOW Basic	Basic ol	Proficient old	Advanced Advanced	ijen and Advanced
		Emo	9/0	0/08	0/0	/	0/0	0/06/	AL.
				English	i/Langua	ge Arts			
	Grade 3	107	N/A	17.0	31.1	45.3	6.6	51.9	
	Grade 4	97	N/A	7.4	44.2	45.3	3.2	48.4	
2002	Grade 5	109	N/A	10.5	58.1	29.5	1.9	31.4	
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 3	110	100.0	11.8	29.4	46.1	12.7	58.8	
	Grade 4	120	100.0	20.0	38.3	40.9	0.9	41.7	
2003	Grade 5	118	100.0	15.5	42.7	37.9	3.9	41.7	
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

				M	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	107	N/A	14.2	36.8	21.7	27.4	49.1
	Grade 4	97	N/A	9.5	29.5	22.1	38.9	61.1
2002	Grade 5	109	N/A	15.1	33.0	28.3	23.6	51.9
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	110	100.0	10.8	37.3	31.4	20.6	52.0
	Grade 4	120	100.0	6.9	35.3	30.2	27.6	57.8
2003	Grade 5	118	100.0	14.6	33.0	29.1	23.3	52.4
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

	-1-11	

SEHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from	Elementary Schools with	Median Elementary
·	Jul 0011001	Last Year	Students Like Ours	School
Students (n= 712)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	0.7%	No change	2.6%	2.4%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	95.6%	Down from 96.4%	96.0%	95.9%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	25.4%	Up from 14.7%	20.3%	13.2%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7.1%	Up from 6.9%	7.7%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	N/A	N/A	0.8%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	1.1%	Down from 1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 42)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	38.1%	Up from 37.2%	51.4%	50.0%
	90.5%	Down from 95.3%	90.2%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	r 93.0%	Down from 93.1%	88.7%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.9%	Up from 95.3%	95.4%	95.3%
	\$41,682	Up 0.7%	\$40,594	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	11.5 days	Up from 11.4 days	11.0 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	5.0	Up from 4.0	4.8	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	2.4 to 1	Down from 20.4 to 1	19.2 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	91.2%	Up from 91.1%	90.0%	89.7%
	\$4,881	Down 3.2%	\$5,649	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	67.8%	Up from 66.8%	66.5%	66.6%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0%	Down from 99.1%	99.0%	99.0%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes
	•		,	•

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Lighty gualified to oboug in high payarty cabacle	N1/A	N1/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Δhh	reviations	for Mi	eeina	Data

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insuff	ent Sample
--	------------

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

2002-2003 was a "Growing Year" for St. James Elementary! Our students performed well in areas of academics and personal excellence. They engaged in a number of community service activities, setting an example for all students to emulate through the daily use of life skills in order to be prepared, productive participants in our community. Some of our many accomplishments are as follows:

37% of our fifth-graders qualified for the middle school honors program;

56% of our students were awarded Gold and Silver Presidential Academic Awards; 39% of our students attained their Accelerated Goal;

2 students were selected for the Johns Hopkins Talent Search.

St. James Elementary was named a finalist for Exemplary Writing;

23 Students were nominated for the Duke Tip Scholar Award;

One student was selected as the South Carolina Aquarium Spokesperson Finalist; 1 Teacher qualified for National Board Certification.

Our teachers challenge themselves as well as our students! Jay Niles was selected Teacher of the Year. The K-Kids club was a new organization for an extra opportunity for our students.

We are extremely fortunate to have a very active PTA and School Improvement Council. The PTA sponsored a Fall Festival. They also provided teacher resources. Our School Improvement Council continues to assist the staff in increasing student learning through its encouragement and assistance. St. James Elementary is a richly diverse community of learners committed to a tradition of academic and personal excellence.

Mary Beth Heath, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.